

Shakti Bhushan  
S/o Sh. Kewal krishan,  
Gali Bhani Sahib, Ward - 08,  
Dhanaula, Distt. – Barnala (Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Motor Vehicle Registration Department,  
O/o The Secretary,  
Regional Transport Authority,  
Faridkot (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
Sector 17, Chandigarh (Punjab)

...Respondents

### Appeal Case No. 1304 of 2019

- Present :
- i) None on behalf of the appellant.
  - ii) Ms. Kulwinder Kaur, Superintendent office of State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh ;
  - iii) Sh. Sanjeev Kumar, Junior Assistant office of Regional Transport Authority, Faridkot ;
  - iii) Sh. Simran Saran, A. T. O. O/o Regional Transport Authority , S. A. S. Nagar, on behalf of the respondent.

#### ORDER

Heard in the Commission.

The RTI request is dated 08.11.2018. First appeal is dated 07.01.2019. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 03.04.2019.

On the last date of hearing, held on 25.05.2022 ;

- i) Sh. Sanjeev Kumar, Junior Assistant, stated that the requisite information was supplied to the appellant.
- ii) The appellant stated that the information supplied to him is un-satisfactory.
- iii) On this, Sh. Sanjeev Kumar , requested for an adjournment in this case.
- iv) Another opportunity was given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply the certified copies of the remaining information to the appellant with a copy to the Commission and if any information, to any query/queries raised by the appellant through RTI request, is not available or not maintained in the official record, he/she (respondent-PIO concerned) was directed to send a reply, in writing, to the particular query/queries, mentioning that no other information is in his possession other than provided and send the same to the appellant through registered post as well as to the Commission.
- v) An opportunity was also given to the appellant to confirm whether he received the information/reply or not. Ms. Kulwinder Kaur, Superintendent office of State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh, , intimated that Sh. Gurnam Singh the then PIO-cum-A.T.O. office of Regional Transport Authority, Faridkot is under suspension and Head Quarter is Regional Transport Authority, S. A. S. Nagar.

- vi) Accordingly, a copy of the order was sent through registered post to Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, S. A. S. Nagar to ensure compliance of the order dated 04.12.2019 and 19.08.2020 passed by the Commission by paying penalty of Rs. 10,000/- (penalty already imposed Rs. 5000/- (vide orders dated 04.12.2019) + enhanced Rs. 5000/- (vide orders dated 19.08.2020) and send copy of supporting document/documents to the Commission, showing that penalty amount of Rs. 10,000/- which was imposed upon the concerned PIO is deposited or deducted from the salary of the concerned PIO, to establish the fact that order of the Commission is complied with.

The appellant, Sh. Shakti Bhushan is not present in today's hearing.

Ms. Kulwinder Kaur, Superintendent office of State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh, who appeared in today's hearing, intimates that Sh. Gurnam Singh the then PIO-cum-A.T.O. office of Regional Transport Authority, Faridkot is under suspension and Head Quarter is Regional Transport Authority, S. A. S. Nagar and a reply was sent to the Commission vide letter no. 3899 dated 04.03.2022 through an e-mail. It, alongwith annexure, is placed in the case-file.

Sh. Sanjeev Kumar, Junior Assistant office of Regional Transport Authority, Faridkot, who appeared in today's hearing, states that complete information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter no. 1089 dated 26.05.2022 through an e-mail in six folders which carries more than 865 and a reply has also been sent to the Commission through an e-mail. It is taken on record.

Sh. Simran Saran, A. T. O. office of Regional Transport Authority , S. A. S. Nagar , who appeared in today's hearing, states that no salary or half salary has been paid to Sh. Gurnam Singh the then PIO-cum-A.T.O. office of Regional Transport Authority, Faridkot during his suspension period. It is taken on record.

Nevertheless, another opportunity is given to the PIO concerned to Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, S. A. S. Nagar to ensure compliance of the order dated 04.12.2019 and 19.08.2020 passed by the Commission by deducting penalty of Rs. 10,000/- (penalty already imposed Rs. 5000/- (vide orders dated 04.12.2019) + enhanced Rs. 5000/- (vide orders dated 19.08.2020) and send copy of supporting document/documents within two months from today to the Commission to establish the fact that order of the Commission has been complied with.

With the above-announced orders, the instant appeal-case is announced as disposed of and closed.

A copy of this order be sent to Deputy Registrar to follow-up for compliance of the above-announced order.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date 20<sup>th</sup> June, 2022

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

CC :

The Deputy Registrar



Sat Dev S/o Sh. Jot Ram  
Dhaani Bisheshernath,  
Tehsil- Abohar,  
Distt. - Fazilka(Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Block Development  
and Panchayat Officer,  
Abohar(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The District Development  
and Panchayat Officer,  
Fazilka(Punjab)

...Respondents

### Appeal Case No. 382 of 2022

Present : Sh. Sat Dev, the appellant in person.  
i) Sh. Sanjiv Kuar, Gram Rozgar Sewak ;  
ii) Sh. Vishav Kirti, Panchayat Seretary, on behalf of the respondent.

#### ORDER

Heard in the Commission.

The RTI request is dated 05.10.2021. First appeal is dated 15.11.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 11.01.2022.

On the last date of hearing, held on 06.06.2022 ;

- i) Sh. Sanjeev Kumar, Gram Rozgar Sewak, MGNREGA Block Abohar, stated that the requisite information was supplied to the appellant on 06.06.2022 through registered post.
- ii) An opportunity was given to the appellant to confirm whether he received the information or not and also to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned.

Sh. Sanjiv Kuar, Gram Rozgar Sewak and Sh. Vishav Kirti, Panchayat Seretary, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant on 06.06.2022 through registered. It, alongwith a copy of postal-receipt, is taken on record.

The appellant, Sh. Sat Dev, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that incomplete information has been given to him by the respondent PIO as information at point no. 03 is still pending.

A copy of the remaining information has also been handed over to the appellant to during the proceeding of the hearing in the Commission today.

After receiving the same (information), the appellant has raised no objection, hence, the instant appeal-case is announced as disposed of and closed.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date 20<sup>th</sup> June, 2022

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab



Jagdish Kumar S/o Sh. Manphool Ram,  
V.P.O. - Raipura, Tehil - Abohar,  
Distt. – Fazilka (Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Block Development  
and Panchayat Officer ,  
Abohar, Distt. - Fazilka(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The District Development  
and Panchayat Officer ,  
Fazilka (Punjab)

...Respondents

### Appeal Case No. 513 of 2022

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.  
None on behalf of the respondent.

#### ORDER

Heard in the Commission.

The RTI request is dated 25.10.2021. First appeal is dated 02.12.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 21.01.2022.

On the last date of hearing, held on 13.06.2021 ;

- i) The appellant stated that no information was given to him by the respondent PIO till date despite the fact he deposited Rs. 3250/- as required fee (which was demanded by the respondent PIO) to get the information .
- ii) Sh. Gurvinder Singh, Panchayat Officer, stated that information of 104 pages was supplied to the appellant.
- iii) The respondent PIO demanded fee of Rs. 3250/- as required fee from the appellant and only 104 pages were supplied, hence, the respondent PIO concerned was directed to file a written reply mentioning that on which basis , fee of Rs. 3250/- was demanded for 104 pages from the appellant. If no information other than 104 pages (as stated above) would found or available in the official-record, then directions were given to the respondent PIO to refund the excess amount i. e. Rs. 3250 - 208 = Rs. 3042/- which was charged from the appellant as excess.
- iv) An opportunity was given to the appellant to confirm whether he received the information or not .

The appellant, Sh. Jagdish Kumar through a letter dated 17.06.2022, which has been received in the Commission through an e-mail, has intimated the Commission that he has received complete information in this case. It is taken on record.

The appellant, Sh. Jagdish Kumar also through a telephonic communication has intimated the Commission that the excess amount, which was charged from him by the respondent has been refunded to him by the respondent PIO and the case be closed.

Since complete information has been supplied by the respondent PIO and the appellant has also acknowledged that he has received the required information and has also given his consent to close the case, hence, the instant case is announced as disposed of and closed.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date 20<sup>th</sup> June, 2022

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab